

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

ELECTRONIC

07/21/2011

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,806	10/11/2005	Bernhard Gleich	2003P00233WOUS	5535	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 07/21/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			DEJONG, ERIC S		
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/552,806	GLEICH, BERNHARD		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ERIC S. DEJONG	1631		

	ERI	C S. DEJONG	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars o	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICA	TION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>\( \)\[ \)\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[ \]\[</li></ol>	replie eal (w	s: (1) an amendment, affidavii ith appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing     b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, aminer Note: It box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO DYTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and the second of the set of the second of the set of the filed by the Office second of the set of the filed by the Office second of the set of the second of the s	on wh tension shorter than t	n and the corresponding amount of sed statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection. (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a (continuous).	nsider w); tter for	ration and/or search (see NOT	FE below); ducing or simplifying the issues for				
NOTE:							
7. Xi For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3.5-17.19 and 41-43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			l be entered and an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
0. The affidavit or other evidence flied after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see continuation sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
		/ERIC S DEJONG/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631				

## Continuation of Item 11 NOTE

Applicant's proposed after Final amendment containing an explicit determination step to independent claim 1 has been been entered onto the record. This amendment is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims under 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> as set forth in the previous Office action malled 04/29/2011.

All remaining rejections and objections are maintained for reasons of record.

Claims 1-3, 5-17, 19, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Collin et al. and Piotto et al.

Applicants arguments filed 04/29/2011 have been considered but are not persuasive.

With regard to the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102(b), applicants argue that prior art does not teach the use of magnetic particles in two states, namely applomerated and deagolomerated.

In response, it is noted that the instant rejection expressly points to the prior art with regard to the use of magentic particles that exist in two different spin states. Further, the examienr refers applicants back to the instant rejection where coupled and decoupled spin states are discussed. It is further nloed that, while applicant's are entitled to be their own lexicographer, the terms "agglomerated" and "deagglomerated" and "deagglomerated" and the spin state of magnetic particles are not terms of the art. The most reasonable interpretation of the instant terms danglomerated and deagglomerated encompass the coupled and decoupled spin states of spin 1/2 magnetic particles.

Applicant's have provided no evidence or teachings to the contrary.

Therefore, the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102(b) is maintained for reasons already of record.